SINGLE BENCH

(1) 3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No.3727 /2005

PETITIONER

Ajay Kumar Khalkho, S/o. Shri Bodhan Ram Khalkho aged about 27 years, Assistant Grade-III, Transport Commissioner Office Raipur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3845/05 Presented by Shri Ajay Shrivastava dated 19/12/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Transport Secretary, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Transport Commissioner Ravi Nagar Shukla Colony, Raipur (C.G.).
3. Deputy Transport Commissioner, Raipur (C.G.).

शपथ आयुक्त बिलासपुर तहसील छत्तीसगढ़

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3727 **सन्** 2005

(17)

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

12.08.2005

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Arun Sao, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State on advance copy.

Heard on admission.

By way of this writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has mainly prayed for a direction to respondent No. 2 for disposal of the application dated 11.11.2003 (Annexure-P/2), which he had filed for getting certified copy of some documents.

The case of the petitioner is that he is working as Assistant Grade-III in the office of respondent No. 2 (Transport Commissioner, Raipur). He made an application dated 11.11.2003 to respondent No. 2 for supplying the certified copy of certain documents as mentioned in the application. The application indicates that the petitioner has demanded the copy of page Nos. 24 & 25 of his personal records, which has been kept in the office of respondent No. 2. The petitioner has not mentioned in the application as to whether these copies can be delivered to him as public document or not.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when an application is made to any officer or any office for demanding certain documents, its a different matter as to whether the copies of those documents should be supplied or not under the governing rules,

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(18)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.3727 **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

but the application must be disposed of on its own merits in accordance with law.

The submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner seems to be reasonable. He makes this limited prayer at this stage that the respondent No.2 be directed to dispose of this application dated 11.11.2003 (Annexure-P/2) by passing some orders on it.

This prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the learned counsel for the State.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that the respondent No. 2 i.e. Transport Commissioner, Raipur, C.G. shall look into the matter and dispose of copying application dated 11.11.2003 (Annexure-P/2) within a period of one week from the date of production of copy of this order along with an application and also with a photo copy of the application dated 11.11.2003 and the receipt of depositing rupees 20 filed before this Court Annexure-P/3.

With the aforesaid directions, the petition stands finally disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No. 3132 of 2005 also stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

(पीछे देखिये)